



SC-17 CAREWING

Reference aircraft configuration for the Simplycity Amsterdam care corridor

Revision 0.1 · 21 September 2026 · Concept / pre-feasibility



SC-17 is a reference aircraft, not a claimed Simplycity product or an airworthy design. It gives the care-corridor pilot a concrete vehicle envelope for routing, hubs, noise, safety and service design. Every value is a preliminary sizing estimate and must be validated by the selected design organisation, operator and competent authority before procurement, manufacture or flight.

The pitch

SC-17 CAREWING turns a 17-minute hospital sample corridor into a quiet, traceable electric service: vertical at the roof, wing-borne between care sites, and visible to every stakeholder through Simplycity. Simplycity remains the coordination layer, not a drone manufacturer. This is the reference configuration that makes the first service tangible and lets the city design a disciplined operating envelope.

Architecture



SC-17 CAREWING reference configuration (concept render)

SC-17 is a battery-electric quadplane: four dedicated vertical-lift rotors handle approved rooftop departures and arrivals; a fixed wing and rear pusher propeller complete the cross-city leg. The combination avoids a hover-only multicopter's cruise-energy penalty while keeping a compact pad footprint.

Choice	Rationale
Four independent lift rotors	Controlled vertical launch/landing; failure tolerance requires later demonstration.
High wing + rear pusher	Efficient wing-borne cruise; clean cargo access and propeller separation.
Removable 7 L cassette	2.5 kg samples, custody scan, temperature logging and fast turnaround.
0.42 kWh swappable battery	Reference mission plus reserve; supports short hub dwell.
Fixed care corridor	Turns route, noise, weather minima and contingency sites into measurable evidence.

Reference specification

Item	Preliminary value
------	-------------------

Configuration	Electric quadplane; fixed wing; rear pusher; V-tail
Payload	2.5 kg maximum; 7 L insulated cassette target
MTOM	13.6 kg target envelope
Span / length / height	1.75 m / 1.18 m / 0.42 m
Wing area / aspect ratio	0.42 m ² / 7.3
Lift system	4 x 457 mm (18 in) rotors
Battery	0.42 kWh nominal, 80% usable planning assumption
Cruise	95 km/h (26.4 m/s) nominal
Stall estimate	61 km/h (17.0 m/s), clean; test required
Nominal range	35 km, still-air planning envelope

17-minute corridor model



Illustrative Amsterdam care-corridor operation

Scenario: 18 km direct route between hospital rooftop micro-hubs.

Segment	Time	Aircraft energy
Authenticate, load, pre-flight release	1.5 min	Hub power
Vertical departure + transition	0.67 min	2.5 kW model
Wing-borne cruise, 18 km at 26.4 m/s	11.36 min	0.47 kW model
Transition + vertical landing	0.67 min	2.5 kW model
Receive, scan and handover	2.8 min	Hub power
Door-to-door target	17.0 min	0.170 kWh nominal aircraft mission

Flow checks aircraft health, weather, route and airspace before release. The aircraft climbs from a controlled pad, transitions to wing-borne cruise within an approved route volume, then lands at the receiving hub. Trust provides the authorised shared operating picture and audit trail; Impact records service, energy and measured noise. Out-of-envelope missions do not launch or divert according to an approved policy.

First-order sizing calculations

Mass and wing loading

Assumed worst-case weight: $W = 13.6 \text{ kg} \times 9.81 = 133.4 \text{ N}$.

$W/S = 133.4 \text{ N} / 0.42 \text{ m}^2 = 318 \text{ N/m}^2$ (32.4 kg/m²).

Preliminary budget: structure/fuselage 3.0 kg; wing/tail 0.9 kg; lift units 1.9 kg; cruise propulsion 0.5 kg; battery 2.0 kg; avionics/cargo interface 0.6 kg; payload 2.5 kg; allowance 2.2 kg = 13.6 kg MTOM. This is a target, not a substantiated mass statement.

Stall check

For sea-level $\rho = 1.225 \text{ kg/m}^3$, wing $S = 0.42 \text{ m}^2$ and provisional $CL_{\max} = 1.8$:

$V_s = \sqrt{2W / (\rho S CL_{\max})} = \sqrt{2 \times 133.4 / (1.225 \times 0.42 \times 1.8)} = 16.97 \text{ m/s} = 61.1 \text{ km/h}$.

The 95 km/h cruise point is $1.55 \times V_s$. Actual airfoil, gust margin and $CL_{\max}$ require wind-tunnel and flight validation.

Cruise drag and power

At $V = 26.4 \text{ m/s}$, use preliminary polar $CD = CD_0 + k CL^2$; $CD_0 = 0.040$; $AR = 7.3$; $e = 0.75$; $k = 1/(\pi AR e) = 0.058$.

$CL = W / (0.5 \rho V^2 S) = 0.744$.

$CD = 0.040 + 0.058 \times 0.744^2 = 0.072$.

$D = 0.5 \rho V^2 S CD = 12.9 \text{ N}$.

At 70% propulsive efficiency, $P = D V / 0.70 = 486 \text{ W}$; planning cruise electrical draw is 0.47–0.55 kW. Bench-tested motor, ESC, propeller and installation losses will replace this estimate.

Vertical-lift power

Four 457 mm rotors yield $A = 4\pi(0.457/2)^2 = 0.656 \text{ m}^2$.

$P_i = \sqrt{W^3/(2\rho A)} = 1.21 \text{ kW}$ ideal induced power.

At provisional 0.65 figure of merit: $1.21/0.65 = 1.86 \text{ kW}$; allowing profile, drivetrain and control margin gives 2.5 kW planning hover draw. Installed peak power, thermal performance and one-motor-out behaviour are unproven until testing.

Energy and reserve

Cruise: $0.47 \text{ kW} \times (18,000/26.4) \text{ s} / 3600 = 0.089 \text{ kWh}$.

Vertical/transition: $2.5 \text{ kW} \times 80 \text{ s} / 3600 = 0.056 \text{ kWh}$.

Avionics/payload thermal allowance: 0.025 kWh. Nominal total: 0.170 kWh. A 30% contingency reserve adds 0.051 kWh, so planning requirement is 0.221 kWh.

A 0.42 kWh pack at 80% usable capacity provides 0.336 kWh usable, or 0.115 kWh additional margin. At 0.50 kW planning cruise, a 30% operational derate produces the 35 km nominal range envelope. This is not a guaranteed range: dispatch must account for wind, diversion, ageing and weather.

Safety concept and verification

1. Before launch: independent positioning, geofence, weather/UTM checks, health check, cassette identity and temperature check.
2. In flight: autopilot plus independent health monitor, primary communications and contingency link, loss-link behaviour, energy/wind monitoring and route-volume containment.
3. Degraded policy: reject launch, return, continue only where energy policy allows, or divert to a surveyed contingency site.
4. Ground safety: locked pad during rotor operation, personnel exclusion zone, positive cassette retention and battery thermal monitoring.

Gate	Evidence needed
G0 — route	Care workflow, survey, stakeholder agreement, contingency inventory.
G1 — model	Mass properties, propulsion map, aero/rotor/noise model and initial risk assessment.
G2 — ground	Structural, battery thermal, EMC, cargo and containment evidence.
G3 — flight development	Hover/transition, failure behaviours and measured acoustics.

G4 — supervised corridor	Approved demonstrations, operational weather/energy data and custody evidence.
G5 — limited service	Accepted safety case, maintenance, training and public-value review.

Package index

- SC17-Carewing-Blueprint.pdf — portable continuity briefing
- sc17-design-book.html — image-rich printable book
- sc17-blueprint.svg — editable three-view concept
- sc17-studio-render.png — original studio render
- sc17-route-render.png — original operational render

Important: This package is an engineering concept only; it is not a manufacturing drawing, flight manual, safety case or approval to operate.